IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NEWPORT NEWS DIVISION

Timeiki Hedspeth \$
#19023-479

Petitioner.

\$ Case NO. 4:16-cr-0004

VS.

\$ MAT-LPL-16

Linited States of America

Respondent.

Defendant Timeiki Hedspeth's opposed motion For Recommendation that she Be transferred From Bop custody to home confinement to Serve centence Based in Part on attorney General Barra March 24,2020 Memorandum To Director of Bureau of Prisons on Prioritization of Home confinement as Appropriate. In Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

Defendant Timeiki Hedspeth. moves this court for a recommendation pursuant to 18 u.s.c. & 362V by 14). that she be transferred from confinement in the Bureau of Prisons to home confinement to Serve her sentence during the pendency of the covid-19 pandemic, based in part on attorney General William Barrs March 26,2020 directive to the Bureau of Prisons entitled "Prioritation of Home confinement as appropriate in Resonner to Milliam Barrs

Ms. Hedspeth is currently serving a 175 month sentence imposed November 3, 2017 for nine counts of conspiracy to commit mail. Wire, and bank froud 18 usc \$ 1349. Count I mail fround 118 usc \$ 31341 and 2 counts 4 and 14). Bank froud (18 usc \$ 1344 and 2-counts I le and 24; Wire froud (18 usc \$ 1343 and 2 counts of 2 le and 33); and agree and 1 dentity Theft (18 usc \$ 3 1028 a and 2 counts of 32 and 35)

The written Judgment was entered November 12.2017 Me Hedspeth appealed her Judgment and Sentence to the Fourt circuit as No 18-4038 but the decision was affirmed. According to the Bureau of Prisons website ms. Hedspeth relaidate is march 11.2030.

18 USC \$ 3621(b)(4). Dermits the Bureau of Prisons, in determining where inmates should serve their Sentences, to to consider any statment by the court that imposed the sentence "[a concerning the purposes for which the sentence to imprisone was determined to be warranted; or (B) recommending a type of Denal or correctional facility as appropriate." These recommendations may be made at anytime.

See eq. United states v Ceballos. 671 F. 3d 852.8560. 2 (9th ciral Lholding that defendant could not appeal the district court's decision on whether to issue recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons. Since it was not part of the sentence imposed, but also stating. "We note that this holding does not deprive district courts of the authority to make (or not make) non-binding recommendations to the Bureau of Drisons. at anytime - including but not limited to during the sentencing colloque.").

on march 26,2020; Ottorney General William Barr issued a two page memorandum to the Director of Federal Bureau of Prisons, which identifies as its subject. "Prioritazation of Home Confinement as appropriate in Response to COVID-19 Pandemic."
This memorandum begins by stating that While the attorney
Creneral was "confident" that the Bureau of Prisons could keep inmates "as eafe as possible from the pandemic currently sweeping across the globe. At the same time. there are some at Risk inmates who are non-violent and pose minimal likelihood of recidinism and who might be safe serving their sentences in hom confinement rather than in Bop Facilities" The Memorandum directed the Bureau and Pose minimal likelihood of directed the Bureau of Prison officials to consider Hansferring inmates to home confinement where appropriate to decrease the risks to their health, listing several factors to consider, including but not limited to the age and vulnerability of the inmate to COVID-19- in accordance with Moc guidelines, the security level of the facility where the inmates residing in low and medium security facilities; the inmates conduct in prison; and the inmates crime of conviction and the assessment of the danger posed by the inmate to the community. The memorandum also directed the Bop medical director of designated person, to assess the inmates risk tactors for Servere covid-19 illness, the risk of contracting covid at the inmates tacility, and the risk of covid-19 at the location in which the inmates casks have an applicances. in which the inmate seeks home confinement, and stated that hom Confinement should only be granted when the totality of the circumstances indicate that the transfer to home confinement is not likely to increase the inmates risk of contracting COVID-19. This memorandum concluded by stating that any inmates who are grante nome confinement by BDP. should first be placed in a 14 day quarantine period before the inmate is discharged from BDP custody to thome confinement.

Defendant has a long-term chronic health condition that can be confirmed by her home Primary care Physician, but have not been treated by the medical doctors or physician assistant at the facility she is housed at. Defendant Hedspeth is housed at Federal Prison Camp in Bryan . Texas and she doesn't know whether any rose of Covid-19 have been reported at the facility.

Case 4:16-cr-00049-RAJ-LRL Document 340 Filed 05/18/20 Page 4 of 7 Page 1D# 2802

Because of Ms. Hedepeths health Conditions Just discussed, and Decause she is incorrected for a non-violent offense. That would not make her a danger to the community if she was placed on home confirment. Defendant avers that at the outset of the case on December 2.3016, she was released from detention on \$15.000 (Five - thousand dollars) secured bond. Her release was unopposed but prosecution. While tree, she attended every court appearance with incident, she fully complied with all of the conditions for release set for her by the district court. Her PSI notes no violent behavior in her past or indication that she Posed a danger to the safety of any person of the community. The crimes of which she stands convicted involved no violent or threatening behavior.

M9 Hedepeth is a 42-year old mother of a sons she was divorced in 2007 after be years of marriage during which she was battered by her ex-husband. On august 10,2018 her daughter and oldest child. Alea Hedepeth, died at age 21. She was not permitted to attend her childs tuneral. On march 25, 2619 her step father who raised her died and she was not permitted to attend his funga and will house most hedepeth if released from detention. Her good character and reliability is amply demonstrated by many letters a support that she provided to the district court, for sentencing a recommendation of release For the foregoing reasons she has shown by clear and convincing evidence that she is not likely to fixe or pase a danger to the saftey of any other person or the community. Ms. Hedepeth asks this court to issue a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that she be transferred from her current BOP facility to home confinement to serve her sentence during the pendency of the covid-19 Pandemic.

Londusion

Wherefore. Premises considered.

defendant Timeiki Hedspeth Prays that this court considers this motion. any response filed by the government and any reply filed by defendant Hedspeth. and issue a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that she be transferred from her current BOP facility to home confinement to serve her sentence during the Pendency of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Respectfully Submitted,

Defendant

Reg# 19023-479
Federal Prison comp Bryan
P.O. Box 2149
Bryan, TX 77805

Date: May 8,2020

- I. Transfer of inmates to home confinement where appropriate decrease the risks to their health.
- The age and vulnerability of the inmate to COVID-19 in accordance with the Centers for Disease control and Prevention (CDC) quidelines.
- The Security level of the facility currently holding the inmate, with priority given to inmates residing in 10w and minim security facilities.
- The inmates conduct in prison, with inmates who have engaged in violent or gang related activity in prison of who have incurred a Bop violation. Within the last year not reciving priority treatment under this memo.
- The inmates score under Pattern with inmates who have anything above a minimum score not receiving Priority treatment under this Memorandum.
- *Whether the inmote has a demonstrated and verifiable re-encident that will prevent recidium and maximize Dublic Safter including verification that the conditions under which the inmote would be confined upon release would present a lower risk of contracting covID-19 than the inmate would face in his or her BOP facility
- The inmates crime of conviction and assessment of the clanger posed by the inmate, to the community. Some offense Such as sex offense, will render an inmate ineligible for home detention. Other serious offences should weigh more heavily against consideration for home detention.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICES

This is to certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the following:

upon the following addresses, by placing same in a sealed envelope, bearing sufficient postage
for the delivery via United States mail Service to: OUS OF BOOM SOMUED 731 Lakefront Commons de 300 New Port News, UA 334,006
which was hand delivered to prison authorities on the grounds of the Federal Prison Composition on Bugantexas on this
Limeitichodspoth

Litigation is deemed FILED at the time it was delivered to prison authorities. See: <u>Houston v.</u>

<u>Lack</u>, 101 L.Ed. 2d 245 (1988).